arXiv:1603.07752v2 [gr-qc] 3 Jul 2016

Thermodynamic Product Relations for Generalized Regular Black Hole

Parthapratim Pradhan[1]

Department of Physics
Vivekananda Satavarshiki Mahavidyalaya
(Affiliated to Vidyasagar University)
Manikpara, West Midnapur
West Bengal 721513, India

Abstract

We derive thermodynamic product relations for four-parametric regular black hole (BH) solutions of the Einstein equations coupled with a non-linear electrodynamics source. The four parameters can be described by the mass (m), charge (q), dipole moment (α) and quadrupole moment (β) respectively. We study its complete thermodynamics. We compute different thermodynamic products i.e. area product, BH temperature product, specific heat product and Komar energy product respectively. Furthermore, we show that some complicated function of horizon areas that is indeed *mass-independent* and could turn out to be *universal*.

1 Introduction

It has been examined that the thermodynamic product for Reissner Nordstrøm (RN) BH, Kerr BH and Kerr-Newman (KN) BH[1], a simple area product is sufficient to draw a conclusion that the product of area(or entropy) is an *universal* quantity. The universal term is used here to describe when the product of any thermodynamic quantities is simply *mass independent*. Alternatively mass-dependent thermodynamic quantities implies that they are *not* an universal quantity. This is strictly follow throughout the work.

In case of RN-AdS [2], Kerr-AdS, and KN-AdS BH [3] one can not find a simple area product relation, instead one could find a complicated function of event horizon($\mathcal{H}^+$) area and Cauchy horizon area ($\mathcal{H}^-$) that might be universal. Very recently, we derived for a regular Ayón-Beato and García BH (ABG) [4] the function of $\mathcal{H}^+$ area and $\mathcal{H}^-$ area is

$$f(\mathcal{A}_+, \mathcal{A}_-) \;=\; 384\pi^3 q^6 \; . \tag{1}$$

[1]E-mail: ppradhan77@gmail.com

where the function should read

$$f(\mathcal{A}_+,\mathcal{A}_-)=\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_-(\mathcal{A}_++\mathcal{A}_-)+24\pi q^2\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_--256\pi^4q^8(\frac{\mathcal{A}_++\mathcal{A}_-}{\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_-})-$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_+}{\mathcal{A}_++\mathcal{A}_++4\pi q^2}\left[(\mathcal{A}_++\mathcal{A}_-)^2+24\pi q^2(\mathcal{A}_++\mathcal{A}_-)-\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_--\frac{256\pi^4q^8}{\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_-}+176\pi^2q^4\right]$$

It indicates a very complicated function of horizons area that turns out to be universal. But it is not a simple area product of horizon radii as in RN BH, Kerr BH and KN BH. This has been very popular topic in recent years in the GR(General Relativity) community [1] as well as in the String community [8] (see also[9, 10, 5, 11, 12]). These universal relations are particularly interesting because they could hold in a more general situations like when a BH space-time is perturbed by surrounding matter. For example, KN BH surrounded by a ring of matter the universal relation does indeed holds [1].

Recently, Page et al.[5] have given a heuristic argument for the universal area product relation of a four-dimensional adiabatically distorted charged rotating BH. They in fact showed the product of outer horizon area and inner horizon area could be expressed in terms of a polynomial function of its charge, angular momenta and inverse sqare root of cosmological constant. It has been argued by Cvetič et al. [8] if the cosmological parameter is quantized, the product of $\mathcal{H}^+$ area and $\mathcal{H}^-$ area could provide a "looking glass for probing the microscopis of general BHs".

However in this work, we would like to evaluate the thermodynamic product formula for a generalized regular(singularity free) BH described by the four parameters namely, m, q, α and β [6]. This class of BH is a solution of Einstein equations coupled with a non-linear electrodynamics source. We examine complete thermodynamic properties of this BH. We show that some complicated function of physical horizon areas that is indeed mass-independent but it is not a simple area product as in Kerr BH or KN BH. We also compute the specific heat to examine the thermodynamic stability of the BH. Finally we compute the Komar energy of this BH.

It should be noted that Smarr's mass formula and Bose-Dadhich identity do not hold for $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 3$ when one has taken into account the non-linear electrodynamics [14, 15, 16, 17]. It also should be mentioned that for some regular BHs [18], once the entropy is taken to be the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [20, 21] the first law of BH thermodynamics is no longer established because there is an inconsistency between the conventional 1st law of BH mechanics and Bekenstein-Hawking area law. The authors [18] also showed that the corrected form of the first law of BH thermodynamics for these class of regular BHs. We should expect this is also true for regular ABG BH and it should be valid for arbitrary values of α and β.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we have described the basic properties of the generalized regular BH and computed various thermodynamic properties. Finally, we conclude our discussions in Sec. 3.

2 Generalized regular BH solution:

The gravitational field around the four parametric regular BH solution is described by the metric

$$ds^2 = -\mathcal{B}(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\mathcal{B}(r)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \ . \tag{2}$$

where the function $\mathcal{B}(r)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(r) \ = \ 1 - \frac{2mr^{\alpha-1}}{(r^2+q^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} + \frac{q^2 r^{\beta-2}}{(r^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} \ . \tag{3}$$

and the electric field is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(r) \ = \ q\left[\frac{m\alpha\{5r^2-(\alpha-3)q^2\}r^{\alpha-1}}{(r^2+q^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+2}} + \frac{\{4r^4-(7\beta-8)q^2r^2+(\beta-1)(\beta-4)^4\}r^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{4(r^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}+2}}\right] \tag{4}$$

where the parameters are described previously. This is a class of regular(curvature free) BH solution in GR. The source is a non-linear electrodynamics. In the weak field limits the non-linear electrodynamics becomes Maxwell field.

In the asymptotic limit, the above solution behaves as

$$-g_{tt} \ = \ 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2} + \alpha\frac{mq^2}{r^3} - \beta\frac{q^4}{2r^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^5}\right), \tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(r) \ = \ \frac{q}{r^2} + \alpha\frac{5m}{2r^3} - \beta\frac{9q^3}{4r^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^5}\right) \ . \tag{6}$$

It may be noted that up to $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)$, we recover the charged BH behavior and the parameters m and q are related to the mass and charges respectively. From the electric field behavior we can say that α and β are associated to the electric dipole moment and electric quadrupole moments respectively. It also should be noted that in the limit $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we obtain the RN BH and in the limit $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 4$, we recover the ABG BH. This BH solution can be treated as a generalization of ABG BH [7]. The above metric as well as the scalar invariants $R, R_{ab}R^{ab}, R_{abcd}R^{abcd}$ and the electric field are regular everywhere in the space-time. Hence, in this sense it is called a regular BH in Einstein-Maxwell gravity. The first regular model is discovered by Bardeen [19] in 1968.

The BH horizons can be obtain by setting $\mathcal{B}(r) = 0$ i.e.

$$(r^2+q^2)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} - 2mr^{\alpha-1}(r^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + q^2r^{\beta-2}(r^2+q^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \ = \ 0. \tag{7}$$

In this work we restrict our case $\alpha \geq 3$ and $\beta \geq 4$.

Case-I

We have set $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 5$. In this case the horizon equation is found to be

$$r^{10} - 2mr^9 + (4m^2 + 5q^2)r^8 - 6mq^2r^7 + (4m^2q^2 + 9q^4)r^6 - 6mq^4r^5 +$$

$$10q^6r^4 - 2mq^6r^3 + 5q^8r^2 + q^{10} \;=\; 0\ . \tag{8}$$

To finding the roots we apply the Vieta's theorem, we find

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} r_i \;=\; 2m\ . \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 10} r_i r_j \;=\; 4m^2 + 5q^2\ . \tag{10}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq 10} r_i r_j r_k \;=\; 6mq^2\ . \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l\leq 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l \;=\; 4m^2q^2 + 9q^4\ . \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p\leq 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p \;=\; 6mq^4\ . \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p<s\leq 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s \;=\; 10q^6\ . \tag{14}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p<s<t\leq 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s r_t \;=\; 2mq^6\ . \tag{15}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p<s<t<u\leq 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s r_t r_u \;=\; 5q^8\ . \tag{16}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{10} r_i \;=\; q^{10} \tag{17}$$

Eliminating mass parameter we find the following set of equations:

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le 10} r_i r_j - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} r_i\right)^2 = 5q^2 \ . \tag{18}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k\le 10} r_i r_j r_k = 3q^2 \sum_{i=1}^{10} r_i \ . \tag{19}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l - q^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} r_i\right)^2 = 9q^4 \ . \tag{20}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p = 3q^4 \sum_{i=1}^{10} r_i \ . \tag{21}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s<t\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s r_t = q^6 \sum_{i=1}^{10} r_i \ . \tag{22}$$

$$\tag{23}$$

Eliminating further finally we find the following mass independent relations:

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l - q^2 \sum_{1\le i<j\le 10} r_i r_j = 4q^4 \ . \tag{24}$$

$$3 \sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s<t\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s r_t = q^2 \sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p \ . \tag{25}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s = 10q^6 \ . \tag{26}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s<t<u\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s r_t r_u = 5q^8 \ . \tag{27}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{10} r_i = q^{10} \tag{28}$$

In terms of area $\mathcal{A}_i = 4\pi r_i^2$, the mass-independent relations are

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l\le 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l} - 4\pi q^2\sum_{1\le i<j\le 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j} = (8\pi q^2)^2 \ . \tag{29}$$

$$3\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s<t\le 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l\mathcal{A}_p\mathcal{A}_s\mathcal{A}_t} = 4\pi q^2\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p\le 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l\mathcal{A}_p} \ .$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s\le 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l\mathcal{A}_p\mathcal{A}_s} = 640\pi^3 q^6 \ . \tag{30}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s<t<u\le 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l\mathcal{A}_p\mathcal{A}_s\mathcal{A}_t\mathcal{A}_u} = 1280\pi^4 q^8 \ . \tag{31}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i} = (4\pi q^2)^5 \ . \tag{32}$$

From this relation one can obtain the mass-independent entropy relation by substituting $\mathcal{A}_i = 4\mathcal{S}_i$. Thus the mass-independent complicated function of horizon areas that we have found could turn out to be an *universal* quantity.

Case-II

Now we have set $\alpha = 5$ and $\beta = 6$. In this case the horizon equation is given by

$$r^{12} - (4m^2 - 8q^2)r^{10} - (4m^2q^2 - 22q^4)r^8 + 26q^6r^6 + 17q^8r^4 + 6q^{10}r^2 + q^{12} = 0 \ .$$

Let us put $r^2 = x$ then the equation reduces to sixth order polynomial equation:

$$x^6 - (4m^2 - 8q^2)x^5 - (4m^2q^2 - 22q^4)x^4 + 26q^6x^3 + 17q^8x^2 + 6q^{10}x + q^{12} = 0 \ .$$

Again we apply the Vieta's theorem, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i = 4m^2 - 8q^2 \ . \tag{33}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le 6} x_ix_j = 22q^4 - 4m^2q^2 \ . \tag{34}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k\le 6} x_ix_jx_k = -26q^6 \ . \tag{35}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l\le 6} x_ix_jx_kx_l = 17q^8 \ . \tag{36}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p\le 6} x_ix_jx_kx_lx_p = -6q^{10} \ . \tag{37}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{6} x_i = q^{12} \ . \tag{38}$$

Eliminating mass parameter, we obtain the mass-independent relation:

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 6} x_i x_j + q^2 \sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i = 14q^4 \ . \tag{39}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq 6} x_i x_j x_k = -26q^6 \ . \tag{40}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l\leq 6} x_i x_j x_k x_l = 17q^8 \ . \tag{41}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p\leq 6} x_i x_j x_k x_l x_p = -6q^{10} \ . \tag{42}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{6} x_i = q^{12} \ . \tag{43}$$

In terms of area $\mathcal{A}_i = 4\pi r_i^2 = 4\pi x_i$ the above mass-independent relation could be written as

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 6} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j + 4\pi q^2 \sum_{i=1}^{6} \mathcal{A}_i = 14(4\pi q^2)^2 \ . \tag{44}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq 6} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j \mathcal{A}_k = -26(4\pi q^2)^3 \ . \tag{45}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l\leq 6} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j \mathcal{A}_k \mathcal{A}_l = 17(4\pi q^2)^4 \ . \tag{46}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p\leq 6} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j \mathcal{A}_k \mathcal{A}_l \mathcal{A}_p = -6(4\pi q^2)^5 \ . \tag{47}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{6} \mathcal{A}_i = (4\pi q^2)^6 \ . \tag{48}$$

Again we have found mass-independent complicated function of horizon areas that could turn out to be universal in nature.

Case-III

Now we have set $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 6$. In this case the horizon equation is

$$r^{10} - 2mr^9 + 6q^2 r^8 - 6mq^2 r^7 + 12q^4 r^6 - 6mq^4 r^5 +$$

$$11q^6 r^4 - 2mq^6 r^3 + 5q^8 r^2 + q^{10} = 0 \ . \tag{49}$$

Again we apply the Vieta's theorem, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} r_i = 2m \ . \tag{50}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le 10} r_i r_j = 6q^2 \ . \tag{51}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k\le 10} r_i r_j r_k = 6mq^2 \ . \tag{52}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l = 12q^4 \ . \tag{53}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p = 6mq^4 \ . \tag{54}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s = 11q^6 \ . \tag{55}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s<t\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s r_t = 2mq^6 \ . \tag{56}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s<t<u\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s r_t r_u = 5q^8 \ . \tag{57}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{10} r_i = q^{10} \ . \tag{58}$$

Eliminating mass parameter we have found the following set of mass-independent relation:

$$3 \sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s<t\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s r_t = q^2 \sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p \ . \tag{59}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le 10} r_i r_j = 6q^2 \ . \tag{60}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l = 12q^4 \ . \tag{61}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s = 11q^6 \ . \tag{62}$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j<k<l<p<s<t<u\le 10} r_i r_j r_k r_l r_p r_s r_t r_u = 5q^8 \ . \tag{63}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{10} r_i = q^{10} \ . \tag{64}$$

If we are working in terms of area then the above mass-independent relation could be

written as

$$3\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p<s<t\leq 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l\mathcal{A}_p\mathcal{A}_s\mathcal{A}_t} = 4\pi q^2\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p\leq 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l\mathcal{A}_p}\ .$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j} = 24\pi q^2\ . \tag{65}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l\leq 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l} = 3(8\pi q^2)^2\ . \tag{66}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p<s\leq 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l\mathcal{A}_p\mathcal{A}_s} = 11(4\pi q^2)^3\ . \tag{67}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l<p<s<t<u\leq 10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_k\mathcal{A}_l\mathcal{A}_p\mathcal{A}_s\mathcal{A}_t\mathcal{A}_u} = 5(4\pi q^2)^4\ . \tag{68}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{10}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_i} = (4\pi q^2)^5\ . \tag{69}$$

Once again these are mass-independent relations that could turn out to be universal. So on, we can compute different thermodynamic product relations for different values of α and β which are mass-independent.

The Hawking [21] temperature on $\mathcal{H}^i$ reads off

$$T_i = \frac{\kappa_i}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left[\alpha\frac{r_i}{(r_i^2+q^2)} - \frac{(\alpha-1)}{r_i} + \frac{q^2(\alpha-\beta)r_i^{\beta-1}}{(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}+1}} + \frac{q^2(\beta-\alpha-1)r_i^{\beta-2}}{(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}\right]. \tag{70}$$

It should be noted that the Hawking temperature product is depend on the mass parameter and thus it is not an universal quantity.

Another important parameter in BH thermodynamics that determine the thermodynamic stability of BH is defined by

$$C_i = \frac{\partial m}{\partial T_i}. \tag{71}$$

For generalized regular BH, it is found to be

$$C_i = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial m}{\partial r_i}\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r_i}\right)} \tag{72}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial r_i} = \frac{\left[\alpha r_i^{\alpha}(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} - (\alpha-1)r_i^{\alpha-2}(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right]}{2r_i^{2(\alpha-1)}} +$$

$$\frac{q^2\left[(\beta-\alpha-1)r_i^{\beta-\alpha-2}(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}-(\beta-\alpha)r_i^{\beta-\alpha}(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha-2}{2}}\right]}{(r_i^2+q^2)^{\beta-\alpha}} \tag{73}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r_i}=\frac{1}{4\pi}\left[\frac{\alpha-1}{r_i^2}-\frac{\alpha(r_i^2-q^2)}{(r_i^2+q^2)^2}+q^2(\alpha-\beta)\frac{\{(\beta-1)r_i^{\beta-2}(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}+1}-(\beta+2)r_i^{\beta}(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\}}{(r_i^2+q^2)^{\beta+2}}\right]+$$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\left[q^2(\beta-\alpha-1)\frac{\{(\beta-2)r_i^{\beta-3}(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}-\beta r_i^{\beta-1}(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}\}}{(r_i^2+q^2)^{\beta}}\right] \tag{74}$$

The BH undergoes a second order phase transition when $\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r_i}=0$. In this case the specific heat diverges.

Finally, the Komar [13] energy computed at $\mathcal{H}^i$ is given by

$$E_i \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\frac{r_i^3}{(r_i^2+q^2)}-(\alpha-1)r_i+\frac{q^2(\alpha-\beta)r_i^{\beta+1}}{(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}+1}}+\frac{q^2(\beta-\alpha-1)r_i^{\beta}}{(r_i^2+q^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}\right]. \tag{75}$$

In the limit $\alpha=3$ and $\beta=4$, one obtains the result of ABG BH.

3 Discussion:

In this work, we examined thermodynamic product relations for generalized regular(curvature free) BH. Generalized means the BHs represented by the four parameters i.e. m, q, α and β. We determined different thermodynamic product particularly area products for different values of α and β. We showed that there are some complicated function of horizon areas indeed mass-independent that could turn out to be universal. We also derived the specific heat to determine the thermodynamic stability of the BH. Finally, we computed the Komar energy for this generalized BH.

References

[1] M. Ansorg and J. Hennig, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 221102 (2009).

[2] M. Visser, *Phys. Rev.* **D 88** 044014 (2013).

[3] J. Hennig, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** 135005 (2014).

[4] P. Pradhan, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **48**, 19 (2016).

[5] D. N. Page and A. A. Shoom, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** 044039 (2015).

[6] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García , *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **37** 635 (2005).

[7] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 5056 (1998).

[8] M. Cvetič et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** 121301 (2011).

[9] A. Castro and M. J. Rodriguez, *Phys. Rev.* **D 86** 024008 (2012).

[10] S. Detournay, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 031101 (2012).

[11] P. Pradhan, *Euro. Phys. J. C* 74 2887 (2014).

[12] P. Pradhan, *Phys. Lett. B* **747**, 64 (2015).

[13] A. Komar, *Phys. Rev.* **113**, 934 (1959).

[14] N. Bretón, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **37(4)** 643 (2005).

[15] S. Bose and N. Dadhich, *Phys. Rev.* **D 60** 064010 (1999).

[16] N. Balrat, *Phys. Lett. B* **687**, 280 (2010).

[17] S. Ansoldi, arXiv:0802.0330.

[18] M. S. Ma and R. Zhao, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** 245014 (2014).

[19] J. Bardeen, *Conference Proceedings in GR5*, Tiflis, U.S.S.R., 1968.

[20] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev.* **D 7** 2333 (1973).

[21] J. M. Bardeen et al., *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31**, 161 (1973).